



Review of RAP grants

Lindsey Criswell

Co-Chair, Molecular Medicine

Review Committee

24 Grant Mechanisms

Career Development

1. Hardship Awards
2. Mentored Scientist Award Program in HIV/AIDS
3. New Direction Awards
4. Post Childbearing Professional Development Leave Award Program
5. Professional Development Leave Award Program
6. Re-entry Awards
7. UCSF-King's Health Partners Faculty Fellowship Travel Grant
8. Under Represented Faculty & Senior Fellows in Clinical and Translational Research Awards

International

1. International Mentored Scientist Award Program in HIV/AIDS

Multidisciplinary

1. Multicenter Start-Up Planning Awards
2. Multidisciplinary Research Project Planning Awards

24 Grant Mechanisms ...continued














Pilots

1. Pilot Basic Science Award Program in HIV/AIDS
2. CC-AMC Pilot Awards in HIV-Associated Malignancies
3. CC-CFAR Pilot Awards in HIV-Associated Malignancies
4. CRS Pilot for Early Career Investigator
5. Pilot for Established Investigators in Basic and Clinical/Translational Sciences
6. Pilot for Junior Investigators in Basic and Clinical/Translational Sciences
7. Pilot Award Program in HIV/AIDS
8. Pilot Award Program in T2 (Bedside-to-Community) Translational Science
9. Pilot Research Awards in Research Policy

Technology

1. Shared Instrument Awards
2. Translational Technology Development Awards
3. Novel Clinical/Translational Methods Awards
4. Mobile Health Research Project Awards

Participating Funding Agencies

RAP Funding Agencies	Directors	Managers	Submission	Review
 AS Academic Senate	Judy Moskowitz Roland Henry, former	Alison Cleaver	✓	✓
 ADRC	Kate Rankin	Trishna Subas	✓	✓
 ARI	Paul Volberding	David Robb	✓	✓
 Cancer Center MZ-HF	Margaret Tempero	Jordan Brainerd	✓	✓
 CFAR	Paul Volberding Warner Greene	Sara Burke	✓	✓
 CTSI-SOS	Dan Lowenstein	Alice Fishman	✓	✓
 CTSI-T1 Catalyst	June Lee	Ruben Rathnasingham	✓	
 CTSI-CRS	Bill Balke	Mario Moreno	✓	
 CTSI-Mobile Health	Ida Sim	Ruben Rathnasingham	✓	
 DERC NIDDK	Michael German	Lauren Ruiz	✓	
 NCOEWH	Nancy Milliken	Eric Ormsby	✓	✓
 REAC	Lindsey Criswell	Geri Ehle	✓	✓
 UCSF RRP	Julie Auger	Jamie Antonazzo	✓	

RAP Review Committees

1. Basic HIV/AIDS, Infectious Diseases & Global - Philip Norris
2. Cancer - Margaret Tempero/Allan Balmain
3. Career Development - [Joseph Guglielmo](#) (outgoing)
4. Clinical HIV/AIDS, Infectious Diseases & Global - Nancy Hessol
5. Clinical Sciences - Michael Shlipak
6. Health Policy & Social Sciences - [Jim Kahn](#) (outgoing)
7. Molecular Medicine - Anthony De Franco, Lindsey Criswell
8. Mobile Health Research - [Roland Henry](#) (outgoing)
9. Neurosciences - [Richard Price](#) /Christine Miaskowski (Price outgoing)
10. Technology - Roland Henry

The RAP logo consists of the letters "RAP" in a bold, blue, sans-serif font, enclosed within a light blue circular gradient.

Resource Allocation Program

A collaborative effort between funding agencies at UCSF to provide a better grant application and review process



Academic Senate



ADRC



ARI



Cancer Center-MZHF



CFAR



CTSI



DERC NIDDK



NCOEWH



REAC



UCSF RRP

RAP Review Form Spring 2012

Applicant Name:

Proposal Title:

Grant Area: Pilot for Junior Investigators

Review Committee: Molecular Medicine

Reviewer's Name:

Overall SCORE (from 1 to 9, whole number only):

Scoring System

Ratings are provided only in whole numbers, not decimals.

Note: scores 1-3 should represent no more than the top 30% of proposals.

RAP Scoring System

Impact	Score	Descriptor	Additional Guidance on Strengths/Weaknesses
	1	Exceptional	Exceptionally strong with essentially no weaknesses
High	2	Outstanding	Extremely strong with negligible weaknesses
	3	Excellent	Very strong with only some minor weaknesses
	4	Very Good	Strong but with numerous minor weaknesses
Medium	5	Good	Strong but with at least one moderate weaknesses
	6	Satisfactory	Some strengths but also some moderate weaknesses
	7	Fair	Some strengths but with at least one major weakness
Low	8	Marginal	A few strengths and a few major weaknesses
	9	Poor	Very few strengths and numerous major weaknesses
Minor Weakness: An easily addressable weakness that does not substantially lessen impact Moderate Weakness: A weakness that lessens impact Major Weakness: A weakness that severely limits impact			

Written Critiques for RAP proposals

General Review (Please provide a one-page review) These comments **WILL** be shared with the applicant.

Please include comments for each of the following sections:

1. General critique and summary of the proposal.
2. Significance. Does the study address an important problem?
3. Approach. Are methods, study design and analysis appropriate?
4. Innovation. Is the study original and innovative?
5. Applicant. Is the applicant trained to do the studies?
6. Departmental support. Is appropriate support available from department?
7. Future potential. Is the research likely to lead to extramural funding?
8. Any question or concern about the budget?

Confidential Comments

Please add any confidential comments or concerns about the application.
These comments **will NOT** be shared with the applicant.